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**Best:**

* Networking & showcasing work
* Conversation/communication
* Speaking with a collective voice (rather than individuals), based on partnership/relationship
* Partnerships with mutual benefits and overlapping missions
* When an organization takes a risk or initiative it can ignite opportunity for other groups to engage or join in the effort or help steer it in the right direction
* Stretch and leverage funding (bringing in/identifying additional funding)
* Community, cross learning
* Sharing knowledge and perspectives
* Compromise- positive attitudes
* Building capacity- combining individual strengths
* Bringing together vendors, consumers, professionals and students at a “product fair” to network and showcase opportunities 🡪 outreach to community
* Relationships with Mesa Verde National Park🡪 Mesa Verde curriculum for educators
* SCC and SJNF (local and regional)
	+ Long term investment
	+ Consistency
* Monitoring effort on Dolores in 2017
	+ State- CPW
	+ Non-profit- TNC
	+ Federal- BLM, B of Rec.
	+ Academia- FLC
	+ Regional- DWCD
* San Juan Headwaters- FS
* Tribal forestry and BIA relationship
* Firewise- SCFS and Fire Departments
* BOR- working w/ conservation districts
* SME- NFF – mitigation program
* San Juan Forest Health Partnership
	+ Scale/scope of focus area
	+ Organized meetings
	+ Grounded in forest ecology
	+ Involvement w/ local high school
	+ Citizen monitoring

**Worst:**

* Too much internal focus and not enough outreach – no external engagement
* Lack of commitment and follow through
	+ Creates distrust, long term riff and damage to relationship
* Take time and effort – training high school interns
* Federal Funding – the timing and planning vs. budget allocation
* Meetings with no results
* Developing group visitation vs. individual
* Coming to the table not willing to compromise
* You know what YOU should do?
* Poor communication/inaction
* Need more diversity (tribal)
* Stagnation (same people, same ideas over and over)
	+ Combat by engaging students and other fresh community voices
* Unhealthy competition with a partnership for credit/attention/funding
	+ Leads to break-up/ bad blood
* Politics
* Lack of dissenter in long-standing group
* Tribal forestry= tribal understanding of mission
* Firewise= public understanding
* USGS= BLM relationship with mining area
* BOR= Conservation Districts
* SME= Agency reviews
* CPW and Trout Unlimited & USFS
	+ Individual relationships work better than at the higher levels (agency to agency)
	+ Chain of command – internal communication

**Weirdest**

* Need to understand organizational structure to capitalize on potential partnerships
* Partnership burnout – angered partners and ruined relationship
* Manure tea “probiotic for the forest”
* Your place or mine – meeting logistics
* Broad visions not based in reality – good intensions
* Two organizations try to partner only to find out there is no common goal
	+ Could originate from uncertainty of missions/goals
	+ Or lack of communication/focus
* Gravitate to easiest relationship

**Issues**

* Incorporating climate change info and other terminology into all partnerships/initiatives
	+ Temporal and spatial scales
* Communicating partnership benefits to public/economic interests
* Organizational issues:
	+ Who takes on these responsibilities – coordination and facilitation
* Who within organizations manages partnerships
* Money to help with communication

**Opportunities**

* Federal funding outlooks, budget implications
* Facilitated networking opportunities around issues
* Targeted experts to facilitate discussion
* Good knowledge exists about the impacts of climate change
* Emphasize importance of scale… temporal/spatial
* Increase visibility to public and increase effectiveness
* Incorporate the economic impact of work
* Catalogue/share failures – identify keys to success
* Recruiting non-traditional partners (e.g. Rio Grande Water Fund)

**Needs**

* Define partnerships
* Examples of group organization models (centralized vs decentralized)
* Define goals
* Avoid competing information
* Better communication platform
	+ One webpage? Email network? Facebook?
* Searchable database to facilitate continued relationships/projects
	+ Podio – professional network
* Partner opportunities w/ agencies, non-profits and for-profit agencies to cross economic and perception boundaries
* Streamlines contracting process to cross mission boundaries
* Ways to move these ideas up the food chain within agencies

**Luncheon/Workshop topics & ideas**

* + What are the funding steps?
		- Once need is understood – go to public or private first?
		- Do you go to other partners to find support/ideas?
* Are there new models for funding?
* How to determine who stakeholders are and how to get them involved
* How do you reach new audiences?
	+ - For involvement, engagement, funding
		- Consider schools
* Create or develop decision tree or template to understand and build most effective partnerships
* Tie between public health
	+ - Air quality
		- Water quality
		- Forest health
	+ Need representation from health industry/ public health sector
	+ Holistic community approach
	+ Invasive species
	+ Divide sessions into issue based topics
	+ Let people communicate with each other/connect from different backgrounds
		- Public lands
		- Affordable housing
	+ NETWORKING/collaboration
		- Agency people don’t get as much opportunity to “mingle”
		- Speed-dating and free-form time
		- Exercise to “force” people to collaborate
			* Group haiku of everyone’s interests
	+ How to funnel funding to environmental education programs that support these larger project goals (watershed health, forest health etc.)
	+ New avenues for environmental ed. (widening reach)
		- Private funding from places that wouldn’t otherwise be involved- corporations
		- Lunch time talks at large employers (teach adults!)
	+ Everyone prepares a packet before may workshops to share
		- Bio, projects, potential projects
		- What kinds of partners they’re looking for
		- Citizen science opportunities

**Other**

* Partnership congestion (common theme)
	+ Overlapping missions competing for funding and engagement
	+ Geographical context
	+ May be coming
	+ # organizations/collaborations
	+ funding
		- internal resources
		- time
		- people
		- values
	+ Capacity to support all partners
	+ Partners vs. stakeholders
	+ Time\*/staff
	+ What’s the destination?
	+ Who’s in the car?
* Partnership Model
	+ Balance centralized vs. dispersed
	+ Communication
		- Bring in new ideas
		- Conscience of the group